

## 276(3): Three Dimensional Orbit Theory in Special Relativity

The infinitesimal line element is:

$$ds^2 = c^2 dt^2 - (c^2 - v^2) dt^2 \quad (1)$$

and the Hamiltonian is:

$$H = (\gamma - 1)mc^2 + U(r) \quad (2)$$

for any potential of attraction  $U(r)$ . Sommerfeld showed in 1913 that the Hamiltonian (2) produces a precessing ellipse. It is now known that three dimensional orbit theory produces:

$$\alpha = \frac{L}{L_z} = 1 + \frac{3Mv}{dc^2} \quad (3)$$

for small  $\alpha$  as observed experimentally. Therefore 3D orbit theory reduces to  $\alpha$  theory for small  $\alpha$ . It can produce experimental effects in the limit such as precession of the perihelion, light deflection due to gravitation, the gravitational red shift and so on, as in previous papers on  $\alpha$  theory. The origin of the Thomas precession is also eq. (3)

The 3D relativistic theory can be developed with the 3D Lorentz factor:

$$\gamma = \frac{dt}{d\tau} = \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^{-1/2} \quad - (4)$$

where:

$$v^2 = \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 + r^2 \left(\frac{d\beta}{dt}\right)^2 \quad - (5)$$

The 3D relativistic kinetic energy is:

$$T = (\gamma - 1)mc^2 \quad - (6)$$

If:

$$v \ll c \quad - (7)$$

then:

$$T \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \quad - (8)$$

Now use:

$$\frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{d\beta}{dt} \frac{dr}{d\beta} \quad - (9)$$

to find that:

$$v^2 = \left(\frac{d\beta}{dt}\right)^2 \left(r^2 + \left(\frac{dr}{d\beta}\right)^2\right) \quad - (10)$$

Classical Limit

From the Lagrangian analysis of previous papers:

$$\frac{d\beta}{dt} = \frac{L}{mr^2}, \quad - (11)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + u(r) \quad - (11a)$$

where  $L$  is the total angular momentum. So:

$$v^2 = \frac{L^2}{m^2 r^4} \left( r^2 + \left( \frac{dr}{d\beta} \right)^2 \right) \quad (12)$$

For an inverse square law of attraction:

$$F(r) = -\frac{mMG}{r^2} \quad (13)$$

and

$$U(r) = -\frac{mMG}{r} \quad (14)$$

so

$$r = \frac{d}{1 + \epsilon \cos \beta} \quad (15)$$

It follows that:

$$\frac{dr}{d\beta} = -\frac{\epsilon r^2}{d} \sin \beta \quad (16)$$

and

$$v^2 = \frac{L^2}{m^2 r^4} \left( r^2 + \frac{\epsilon^2 r^4}{d^2} \sin^2 \beta \right) \quad (17)$$

$$= \frac{L^2}{m^2} \left( \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{d^2} \sin^2 \beta \right)$$

Now use:

$$\sin^2 \beta = 1 - \cos^2 \beta \quad (18)$$

and:

$$\frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{1}{d^2} (1 + \epsilon \cos \beta)^2 \quad - (19)$$

so:

$$v^2 = \frac{L^2}{m^2 d^2} \left( (1 + \epsilon \cos \beta)^2 + \epsilon^2 (1 - \cos^2 \beta) \right) \quad - (20)$$

so

$$v^2 = \frac{L^2}{m^2 d^2} (1 + \epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon \cos \beta) \quad - (21)$$

The relativistic kinetic energy is:

$$T = \left( \left( 1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right)^{-1/2} - 1 \right) mc^2 \quad - (22)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \beta &= \frac{\cos \phi}{\left( \cos^2 \phi + \left( \frac{L}{L_2} \right)^2 \sin^2 \phi \right)^{1/2}} \\ &= \left( 1 - \left( \frac{1}{1 - \left( \frac{L_2}{L} \right)^2} \right) \cos^2 \theta \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the kinetic energy can be graphed

5) as a function of  $\phi$ , of  $\theta$ , and of  $\dot{\phi}$  and  $\dot{\theta}$ .

In general:

$$T = T(\phi, \theta) \quad - (24)$$

Relativistic Theory

$$H = (\gamma - 1)mc^2 + \bar{U}(r) \quad - (25)$$

$$L = (\gamma - 1)mc^2 - \bar{U}(r) \quad - (26)$$

and the four Euler Lagrange equations are:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial r} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}} \quad - (27)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\beta}} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\beta}} \quad - (28)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \quad - (29)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \quad - (29)^{30}$$

These equations will produce different relations between  $\beta$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  and a different force law. However, the complexity of this procedure

can be bypassed for small  $x$  by using eq. (3)

The velocity  $v$  appearing in eq. (1) is the non relativistic velocity given by Eq. (2)

In previous papers it was shown that the observed precession:

$$x = \frac{1}{dc^2} + \frac{3MG}{dc^2} \quad - (31)$$

can be attributed to the Thomas precession, which can be calculated from eq. (1). The origin of a small precession was shown from the previous note to be 3D orbit theory, giving:

$$x = \frac{L}{L_2} \quad - (32)$$

So eqs. (1) to (3) are self consistent.

This must now be demonstrated precisely because the Thomas precession is the result of rotating the metric (1), and eq. (32) is the result of a classical theory. It is also known from the Sommerfeld theory of the atom that eq. (2) produces a precessing ellipse. Eq. (3) must therefore be the classical limit of a relativistic theory.