

## 233(-7): The Description of Any Orbit in Terms of Special and General Relativity

In this note it is shown that the simplest relativistic description of any orbit is that provided by the Minkowski metric:

$$ds^2 = c^2 d\tau^2 = c^2 dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 \quad (1)$$

in plane polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ . From eq. (1):

$$mc^2 = mc^2 \left( \frac{dt}{d\tau} \right)^2 - m \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 - mr^2 \left( \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} \right)^2 \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{E^2}{mc^2} - \frac{p^2}{m}$$

where

$$E = mc^2 \left( \frac{dt}{d\tau} \right) = \gamma mc^2 \quad (3)$$

and

$$p^2 = m^2 \left( \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 + r^2 \left( \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} \right)^2 \right) \\ = \gamma^2 m^2 \left( \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 + r^2 \left( \frac{d\theta}{dt} \right)^2 \right) \quad (4) \\ = \gamma^2 m^2 v^2$$

So

$$\underline{p} = \gamma m \underline{v} \quad (5)$$

and

$$E^2 = c^2 p^2 + m^2 c^4 \quad (6)$$

Eqs (5) and (6) are the well known equations of special relativity: the relativistic momentum and Einstein's energy equation. It can be shown that follows that eq. (5) implies eq. (6). First note that eq. (1) can be written as:

$$2) \quad ds^2 = c^2 d\tau^2 = c^2 dt^2 - \underline{dr} \cdot \underline{dr} \quad - (7)$$

also  $\underline{dr} \cdot \underline{dr} = v^2 dt^2, \quad - (8)$

so:  $c^2 d\tau^2 = (c^2 - v^2) dt^2 \quad - (9)$

and  $\gamma = \frac{dt}{d\tau} = \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^{-1/2} \quad - (10)$

This is the well known Lorentz factor of special relativity.

From eq. (5):  $p^2 = \gamma^2 m^2 v^2 \quad - (11)$

so  $p^2 c^2 = \gamma^2 m^2 c^4 \left(\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \quad - (12)$

also from eq. (10):  $\frac{v^2}{c^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \quad - (13)$

so  $p^2 c^2 = \gamma^2 m^2 c^4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right)$   
 $= \gamma^2 m^2 c^4 - m^2 c^4 \quad - (14)$   
 $= E^2 - m^2 c^4$

$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4 \quad - (15)$

so: a.e.d. Note that eq. (3) has been used in the derivation. Eq. (3) is derived from a Lagrangian analysis of eq. (2). The same Lagrangian analysis gives:

$$L = mr^2 \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = \gamma mr^2 \frac{d\theta}{dt} \quad - (16)$$

So eq. (2) is:

$$mc^2 = \frac{E^2}{mc^2} - m \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 - \frac{L^2}{mr^2} \quad - (17)$$

$$\text{i.e. } m \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \frac{E^2}{mc^2} - mc^2 - \frac{L^2}{mr^2} \quad - (18)$$

$$\text{where } \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 \left( \frac{dt}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \frac{L^2}{m^2 r^4} \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 \quad - (19)$$

$$\text{So: } \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 = \frac{mr^4}{L^2} \left( \frac{E^2 - m^2 c^4}{mc^2} - \frac{L^2}{mr^2} \right)$$

$$= r^4 \left( \left( \frac{P}{L} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{r^2} \right)$$

$$\text{i.e. } \boxed{\left( \frac{P}{L} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{r^4} \left( \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 + r^2 \right)} \quad - (20)$$

All orbits can be described by the ratio of P to L.

This is the result of special relativity, and by Occam's Razor is the preferred theory. Any result of a different metric must be more



complicated, and therefore must be discarded by Occam's Razor. For example consider the metric of a general physical spacetime:

$$ds^2 = c^2 d\tau^2 = A c^2 dt^2 - B dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 \quad (21)$$

as an example. It follows from eq. (21) that:

$$mc^2 = \frac{E}{\gamma} - \frac{p}{\gamma} \quad (22)$$

where

$$E = A^{1/2} mc^2 \frac{dt}{d\tau} \quad (23)$$

and

$$p^2 = m^2 \left( B \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 + r^2 \left( \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} \right)^2 \right) \quad (24)$$

so

$$E^2 = m^2 c^4 + p^2 c^2 \quad (25)$$

which has the same form as special relativity, but which contains two more parameters, A and B.

Eq. (21) is:

$$ds^2 = c^2 d\tau^2 = A c^2 dt^2 - v^2 dt^2 \quad (26)$$

where

$$v^2 = B \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 + r^2 \left( \frac{d\theta}{dt} \right)^2 \quad (27)$$

so

$$\gamma = \frac{dt}{d\tau} = \left( A - \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right)^{-1/2} \quad (28)$$

which is the Lorentz factor with 1 replaced by A.

5) Therefore the metric (21) reduces to:

$$\underline{p = \gamma m v} \quad - (29)$$

which means that all theories of general relativity have the same structure as special relativity. Eq. (29) is the generally covariant linear momentum. From eq. (29):

$$p^2 c^2 = \gamma^2 m^2 c^4 \left( \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right) \quad - (30)$$

where from eq. (28):

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^2} = A - \frac{v^2}{c^2} \quad - (31)$$

so

$$p^2 c^2 = A \gamma^2 m^2 c^4 - m^2 c^4 \quad - (32)$$

Using eq. (23) gives eq. (25), **Q.E.D.**

The orbital equation is derived by writing

eq. (21) as:

$$m c^2 = \frac{E^2}{m c^2} - m B \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 - \frac{L^2}{m r^2} \quad - (33)$$

so

$$m B \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \frac{E^2}{m c^2} - m c^2 - \frac{L^2}{m r^2} \quad - (34)$$

and

$$\left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \frac{L^2}{m^2 r^4} \left( \frac{dr}{d\theta} \right)^2 \quad - (35)$$



b) So 
$$\left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{r^4}{BL^2} \left( p^2 - \frac{L^2}{r^2} \right) \quad - (36)$$

where eq (25) has been used.

It follows that:

$$\boxed{\left(\frac{p}{L}\right)^2 = \frac{B}{r^4} \left( \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2 + r^2 \right)} \quad - (37)$$

In special relativity:

$$B = 1. \quad - (38)$$

Therefore:

$$\boxed{\left(\frac{p}{L}\right)_{GR}^2 = B \left(\frac{p}{L}\right)_{SR}^2} \quad - (39)$$

The only effect of general relativity is to make the analysis more complicated by introducing the parameter B. Therefore general relativity of this type is discarded by Ockham's Razor of natural philosophy.

The observable orbit of any type anywhere in the universe is dr/dt. Its simplest relativistic description is special relativity. Any other description is superfluous.

7) The Minkowski metric is

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (40)$$

This can be factored into Cartesian tetrads:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^a_{\mu} e^b_{\nu} \eta_{ab} \quad (41)$$

where

$$\eta_{ab} = \eta^{ab} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (42)$$

in the same Cartesian basis. In the complex circular basis the structure of  $\eta_{ab}$  is different. The tetrads  $e^a_{\mu}$  and  $e^b_{\nu}$  are phase dependent and as in

previous work give torsion and curvature. The Cartan and Evans identities then give the field equations of gravitation.

This is a simpler and more complete theory than Einstein's general relativity, able to describe all orbits. EGR only torsion and it just is unable to describe any orbit.

---